

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/005,522                                   | 12/03/2001      | Paul Theodore VanGompel | 659/920                | 2410             |
| 75   | 7590 11/17/2004 |                         | EXAMINER               |                  |
| Raymond W. Green BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE |                 |                         | STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F |                  |
| P.O. BOX 10395                               |                 |                         | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
| CHICAGO, IL 60610                            |                 |                         | 3761                   |                  |

**DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |
|--|---|---|--|
|  |   |   |  |
| Office Action Summary  | 10/005,522  | VANGOMPEL ET AL.  |  |
| Office Action Guillinary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this community   | Jacqueline F Stephens   | 3761  |  |
| Period for Reply   | nication appears on the cover sheet with  | i the correspondence address  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprimunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty estatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA | oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) fil   | led on <u>16 August 2004</u> .  |   |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  | •   |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition  | n for allowance except for formal matte   | rs, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |
| closed in accordance with the prac-  | tice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.   | 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-46</u> is/are pending in the  | e application.  |   |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s   | are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-44</u> is/are rejected.   |   |   |  |
| 7) Claim(s) 45-46 is/are objected to.  | intion and/or election requirement  |   |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restr   | iction and/or election requirement.   |   |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the specific of the |   |   |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are   |   |   |  |
|  | ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc   |   |  |
|  | ig the correction is required if the drawing(s  |   |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected  | to by the Examiner. Note the attached   | Office Action of John P 10-132.   |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   | ·   |  |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim   | n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §  | 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   |   |  |
|  | y documents have been received.   |   |  |
|  | y documents have been received in Ap  |   |  |
|  | s of the priority documents have been r   | eceived in this National Stage  |  |
| • •  | onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).<br>on for a list of the certified copies not re   | eceived   |  |
| See the attached detailed Office acti  | on tot a list of the certified copies flot it   | 5001Y0 <b>U</b> .   |  |
|  |   | ·   |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) 🔲 Interview Su   | mmary (PTO-413)   |  |
| ., <u>F.</u>   | -7 <u>- 1110111011</u>  | ·····-· , v· · - · · · · /  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/7/04.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/005,522 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 35-46 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 35-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osborn USPN 5346486.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,522

Art Unit: 3761

As to claims 35 and 40-44, Osborn discloses a sanitary napkin that has an absorbent pad that comprises a cover 22, absorbent 26, and baffle 24. The article further comprises garment attachment panels 28 that extend from the underside of the garment (Figures 1A, 1B, 2A, and 6). The attachment panels have a control means 44 in combination with a fold 42 that provides lateral extension for the flap (col. 10, line 42 through col. 11, line 22). The control means is anchored under the crotch portion and extends generally downward and inward from an underside of the pad, and is capable of establishing a generally downward pull on the side edges in that it acts directly on the opposing spring to return the article to its retracted position, shown I Figure 5.

The attachment panels further comprise mating fastener elements 40. and does not disclose the claimed dimensions for the fastener elements. With respect to the recited dimensions for the fastener elements, they are considered obvious as one of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate the relative dimensions to solve the problem of attaching the sanitary napkin to a panty of a user. The sanitary napkin of Osborn will function the same if the fasteners are the claimed size or vary slightly from the claimed size, so this limitation does not patentably distinguish over Osborn. In *Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.*, 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), *cert. denied*, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,522

Art Unit: 3761

Concerning claims 36-39, these claims are directed to an intended use of the article. Intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim limitations. The article of Osborn is fully capable of the claimed functional language.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 45 and 46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Schwartz can be reached on (571)272-4390. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,522

Art Unit: 3761

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacqueline F Stephens

Examiner Art Unit 3761

November 15, 2004